arXiv:1404.2841v1 [math.DG] 10 Apr 2014

GENERALIZED DISTANCE-SQUARED MAPPINGS OF THE PLANE INTO THE PLANE

S. ICHIKI, T. NISHIMURA, R. OSET SINHA, AND M. A. S. RUAS

Abstract. We define generalized distance-squared mappings, and we concentrate on the plane to plane case. We classify generalized distance-squared mappings of the plane into the plane in a recognizable way.

1. Introduction

Let n be a positive integer. For any positive integer k, let $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_k$ be $(k+1)$ points of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Set $p_i = (p_{i0}, p_{i1}, \ldots, p_{in})$ $(0 \leq i \leq k)$. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq n}$ be a $(k+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix with non-zero entries. Then, the following mapping $G_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_k,A)} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ is called a *generalized distance-squared mapping*:

$$G_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_k,A)}(x) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{0j}(x_j - p_{0j})^2, \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{1j}(x_j - p_{1j})^2, \ldots, \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{nj}(x_j - p_{nj})^2 \right),$$

where $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. In [3] (resp., [4]), the first and the second authors gave a classification result on distance-squared mapping $D_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_k)}$ (resp., Lorentzian distance-squared mappings $L_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_k)}$) which is the mapping $G_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_k,A)}$ in the case that each entry of A is 1 (resp., in the case of $a_{i0} = -1$ and $a_{ij} = 1$ if $j \neq 0$). In these cases, the rank of A is 1. Generalized distance-squared mappings are a useful tool in the applications of singularity theory to differential geometry. Their singularities give information on the contacts amongst the families of quadrics defined by the components of $G_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_k,A)}$. It is therefore natural to classify maps $G_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_k,A)}$, which is the main theme of this paper.

Two mappings $f_i : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are said to be $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent if there exist C^∞ diffeomorphisms $h : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $H : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ such that the identity $f_1 = H \circ f_2 \circ h$ holds. It turns out that new $\mathcal{A}$-classes occur even in the simplest equidimensional case (see Theorem 1).

Definition 1. (1) Let $\Phi_{n+1} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ denote the following mapping:

$$\Phi_{n+1}(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \left(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n^2\right).$$

When a map-germ $f : (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, q) \to (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, f(q))$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $\Phi_{n+1} : (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 0)$, the point $q \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is said to be a *fold point of* f.

(2) Let $\Gamma_{n+1} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ denote the following mapping:

$$\Gamma_{n+1}(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \left(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n^3 + x_0 x_n\right).$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57R45, 58C25, 58K50.

Key words and phrases. Generalized distance-squared mapping, stable mapping, $\mathcal{A}$-equivalence, fold singularity, cusp singularity, D_4 singularity.

When a map-germ $f : (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, q) \to (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, f(q))$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $\Gamma_{n+1} : (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, 0)$, the point $q \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is said to be a *cusp point of* f.

It is known that both Φ_{n+1}, Γ_{n+1} are proper and stable mappings (for instance see [1]).

In the case of $n = k$, $D_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_n)}$ and $L_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_n)}$ can be generically characterized as follows.

Proposition 1 ([3, 4])**.** *Let $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$ be $(n+1)$-points of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that the dimension of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{R}\overrightarrow{p_0p_i}$ is n. Then, the following hold:*

1. *The distance-squared mapping $D_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_n)} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to Φ_{n+1}.*
2. *The Lorentzian distance-squared mapping $L_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_n)} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to Φ_{n+1}.*

For generalized distance-squared mappings, it is natural to expect that for generic $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n$, $G_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_n,A)}$ is proper and stable, and the rank of A is a complete invariant of $\mathcal{A}$-types.

Conjecture 1. *Let A_k be an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix of rank k with non-zero entries $(1 \leq k \leq (n+1))$. Then, there exists a subset $\Sigma \subset (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^{n+1}$ of Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $(p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^{n+1} - \Sigma$, the following hold:*

1. *For any k $(1 \leq k \leq (n+1))$, the generalized distance-squared mapping $G_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_n,A_k)}$ is proper and stable.*
2. *For any two integers k_1, k_2 such that $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq (n+1)$, $G_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_n,A_{k_2})}$ is not $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $G_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_n,A_{k_1})}$.*
3. *Let B_k be an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix of rank k with non-zero entries $(1 \leq k \leq (n+1))$ and let $(q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_n)$ be in $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^{n+1} - \Sigma$. Then, $G_{(p_0,p_1,\ldots,p_n,A_k)}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $G_{(q_0,q_1,\ldots,q_n,B_k)}$ for any k.*

The main purpose of this paper is to give the affirmative answer to Conjecture 1 in the case $n = 1$ as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $((x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1))$ be the standard coordinates of $(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ and let Σ be the hypersurface in $(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ defined by $(x_0 - x_1)(y_0 - y_1) = 0$. Let (p_0, p_1) be a point in $(\mathbb{R}^2)^2 - \Sigma$ and let A_k be a 2×2 matrix of rank k with non-zero entries (k=1, 2). Then, the following hold:*

1. *The mapping $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to Φ_2.*
2. *The mapping $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ is proper and stable, and it is not $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)}$.*
3. *Let B_2 be a 2×2 matrix of rank 2 with non-zero entries and let (q_0, q_1) be a point in $(\mathbb{R}^2)^2 - \Sigma$. Then, $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $G_{(q_0,q_1,B_2)}$.*

It turns out that there exists only one cusp point in the singular set of $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ (see Proposition 2). Note that Γ_2 is a cubic polynomial. Thus, Theorem 1 implies that there exists only one point at which the $\mathcal{A}$-type of the germ of the quadratic polynomial $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ must be expressed in a cubic form, although there are no such points for $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)}$. In Figure 1 which were created by using [6], it can be seen how the singular set of $G_{(p_0,p_1,A)}$ (denoted by $S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A)})$) and its image $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A)}))$ change if the matrix A moves for fixed $p_0 = (0,0), p_1 = (1,1)$. The first row of Figure 1 is for $a_{00} = a_{01} = a_{10} = a_{11} = 1$, the second row is for $a_{00} = a_{01} = a_{10} = 1, a_{11} = 1.5$, the third row is for $a_{00} = a_{01} = a_{10} = 1, a_{11} = 2$



FIGURE 1. Various figures of $S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)})$ and $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}))$

and the last row is for $a_{00} = a_{01} = a_{10} = 1, a_{11} = 3$. The keys for proving Theorem 1 are the following two propositions.

Proposition 2. *Let A_2 be a 2×2 matrix of rank two with non-zero entries. Let p_0, p_1 be two points of $\mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying $(p_0, p_1) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2 - \Sigma$, where $\Sigma \subset (\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ is the hypersurface defined in Theorem 1. Then, the following hold:*

1. *The singular set $S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)})$ is an equilateral hyperbola.*
2. *Any point of $S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)})$is a fold point except for one.*
3. *The exceptional point given in (2) is a cusp point.*

Proposition 3. *Let A_2 be a 2×2 matrix of rank two with non-zero entries. Let p_0, p_1 be two points of $\mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying $(p_0, p_1) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2 - \Sigma$, where $\Sigma \subset (\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ is the hypersurface defined in Theorem 1. Then, for any positive real numbers a, b $(a \neq b)$, there exists a point $q = (q_0, q_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $(q, (0, 0)) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2 - \Sigma$ and $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $F_q : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by*

$$F_q(x, y) = \left((x - q_0)^2 + (y - q_1)^2, ax^2 + by^2\right).$$

Propositions 2, 3 and Theorem 1 are proved in Sections 2, 3 and 4 respectively. Finally, in Section 5 we give a geometric interpretation of the singularities of $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ by which it is clearly explained why the cusp point is only one in Proposition 2.

2. Proof of Proposition 2

2.1. **Proof of (1) of Proposition 2.** The Jacobian matrix $JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x, y)$ of the mapping $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ at (x, y) is the following:

$$JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 2a_{00}(x - p_{01}) & 2a_{01}(y - p_{11}) \\ 2a_{10}(x - p_{10}) & 2a_{11}(y - p_{11}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x, y) = 4 \big(&((a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})\, x + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{01} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))\, y \\ &+ (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{11} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{01})\, x + (a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}p_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}p_{01}p_{10})\big). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the Jacobian matrix of the function $\det JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} J(\det JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)})(x, y) = 4 \big(&(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})y + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{11} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{01}), \\ &(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})x + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10})\big). \end{aligned}$$

We show that there exist no points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that both $\det JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x, y) = 0$ and $(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})x + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}) = 0$ hold. Suppose that there exists a point $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y})$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that both $\det JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) = 0$ and $(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})\widetilde{x} + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}) = 0$ hold. Then, by $\widetilde{x} = \frac{a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} - a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}}{a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \det JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) &= \frac{4a_{00}a_{01}a_{10}a_{11}(p_{00} - p_{10})(p_{01} - p_{11})}{a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the assumption that $p_{00} \neq p_{10}$ and $p_{01} \neq p_{11}$. Hence, if $\det JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x, y) = 0$, then it follows that $(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})x + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}) \neq 0$ and

$J(\det JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)})(x,y) \neq (0,0)$. We see that $\det JG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,y) = 0$ if and only if

$$((a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})x + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))y + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{11} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{01})x \\ +(a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}p_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}p_{01}p_{10}) = 0.$$

Then, since $(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})x + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}) \neq 0$, it follows that

$$y = -\frac{(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{11} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{01})x + (a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}p_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}p_{01}p_{10})}{(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})x + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10})}.$$

Note that the asymptote of the hyperbola is the following:

$$x = \frac{a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} - a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}}{a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}},\ y = \frac{a_{00}a_{11}p_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}p_{01}}{a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}}.$$

Thus, the singular set $S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)})$ is an equilateral hyperbola:

$$S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}) \quad = \quad \left\{(x,\phi(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^2\right\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= -\frac{(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{11} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{01})x + (a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}p_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}p_{01}p_{10})}{(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})x + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10})} \\ &= \frac{-\frac{a_{00}a_{01}a_{10}a_{11}(p_{00}-p_{10})(p_{01}-p_{11})}{(a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})^2}}{x + \frac{-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}}{a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10}}} + \frac{a_{00}a_{11}p_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}p_{01}}{a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

2.2. **Proof of (2) of Proposition 2.** For the proofs of (2), (3) of Proposition 2, the following lemma is needed.

Lemma 2.1 ([7]). *Let f be a mapping of the plane into the plane, and let $q = (q_0, q_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be a singular point of f. Suppose that $J(\det Jf)_q \neq (0,0)$. Put $J(detJf)_q = (r_0, r_1)$. By a linear coordinate transformation if necessary, we may assume that $r_1 \neq 0$. Then, by the implicit function theorem, there exists a function $y = \phi(x)$ defined on an open interval containing q_0 satisfying $detJf(x,\phi(x)) = 0$. Then, q is a fold point of f if and only if*

$$\left.\frac{df(x,\phi(x))}{dx}\right|_{x=q_0} \neq 0,$$

and q is a cusp point of f if and only if

$$\left.\frac{df(x,\phi(x))}{dx}\right|_{x=q_0} = 0, \quad \left.\frac{d^2f(x,\phi(x))}{dx^2}\right|_{x=q_0} \neq 0.$$

We use the notations given in Subsection 2.1. By calculations, $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x))$ can be written as follows:

$$G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x)) = \\ \left(a_{00}(x-p_{00})^2 + a_{01}(a_{00}a_{11}(p_{01}-p_{11}))^2\left(\frac{x-p_{00}}{(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})x + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10})}\right)^2,\right. \\ \left. a_{10}(x-p_{10})^2 + a_{11}(a_{01}a_{10}(p_{01}-p_{11}))^2\left(\frac{x-p_{10}}{(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})x + (-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00} + a_{01}a_{10}p_{10})}\right)^2\right).$$

Next, by differentiating $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x))$, we have the following:

$$\frac{dG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x))}{dx} =$$
$$2\left(a_{00}(x-p_{00}) + \frac{a_{01}^2 a_{10}(p_{10}-p_{00})(a_{00}a_{11}(p_{01}-p_{11}))^2(x-p_{00})}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^3}\right.,$$
$$\left. a_{10}(x-p_{10}) + \frac{a_{11}^2 a_{00}(p_{10}-p_{00})(a_{01}a_{10}(p_{01}-p_{11}))^2(x-p_{10})}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^3}\right).$$

Now, we find the solution to the equation $\frac{dG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x))}{dx}=0$. We have

$$\frac{dG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x))}{dx}=0$$

if and only if both the following two hold:

$$a_{00}(x-p_{00}) + \frac{a_{01}^2 a_{10}(p_{10}-p_{00})(a_{00}a_{11}(p_{01}-p_{11}))^2(x-p_{00})}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^3}=0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$a_{10}(x-p_{10}) + \frac{a_{11}^2 a_{00}(p_{10}-p_{00})(a_{01}a_{10}(p_{01}-p_{11}))^2(x-p_{10})}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^3}=0. \tag{2.2}$$

Furthermore, since $a_{00}\neq 0$ and $a_{10}\neq 0$, by dividing (2.1) by a_{00} and dividing (2.2) by a_{10}, we get the following:

$$(x-p_{00})\left(1+\frac{a_{00}a_{01}^2 a_{10}a_{11}^2(p_{10}-p_{00})(p_{01}-p_{11})^2}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^3}\right)=0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$(x-p_{10})\left(1+\frac{a_{00}a_{01}^2 a_{10}a_{11}^2(p_{10}-p_{00})(p_{01}-p_{11})^2}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^3}\right)=0. \tag{2.4}$$

By (2.3) and (2.4) and the assumption $p_{00}\neq p_{10}$, we obtain

$$1+\frac{a_{00}a_{01}^2 a_{10}a_{11}^2(p_{10}-p_{00})(p_{01}-p_{11})^2}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^3}=0.$$

Thus, the solution to the equation $\frac{dG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x))}{dx}=0$ is the following:

$$x=\frac{(a_{00}a_{01}^2 a_{10}a_{11}^2(p_{00}-p_{10})(p_{01}-p_{11})^2)^{\frac{1}{3}}+(a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}-a_{01}a_{10}p_{10})}{a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10}}.$$

Denote this solution by $\widetilde{x}$. Then, it is easy to see that

$$\phi(\widetilde{x})=\frac{-(a_{00}^2 a_{01}a_{10}^2 a_{11}(p_{00}-p_{10})^2(p_{01}-p_{11}))^{\frac{1}{3}}+(a_{00}a_{11}p_{11}-a_{01}a_{10}p_{01})}{a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10}}.$$

Therefore, the point satisfying $\frac{dG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x))}{dx}=0$ is the only one point $(\widetilde{x},\phi(\widetilde{x}))$.
□

2.3. **Proof of (3) of Proposition 2.** We use the notations given in Subsections 2.1 and 2.2. By differentiating $\frac{dG_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x))}{dx}$, we have the following:

$$\frac{d^2G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x))}{dx^2} =$$
$$2\left(a_{00}+a_{01}^2 a_{10}(p_{10}-p_{00})(a_{00}a_{11}(p_{01}-p_{11}))^2 f_0(x),\right.$$
$$\left. a_{10}+a_{11}^2 a_{00}(p_{10}-p_{00})(a_{01}a_{10}(p_{01}-p_{11}))^2 f_1(x)\right),$$

where

$$f_0(x) = \frac{(a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})(-2x+3p_{00})+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10})}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^4},$$
$$f_1(x) = \frac{(a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})(-2x+3p_{10})+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10})}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^4}$$

Now, we show that there exist no points such that $\frac{d^2G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x,\phi(x))}{dx^2}=0$. Suppose that there exist a point $\widetilde{x}_0$ such that $\frac{d^2G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(\widetilde{x}_0,\phi(\widetilde{x}_0))}{dx^2}=0$. Then, we see that

$$a_{00}+a_{01}^2a_{10}(p_{10}-p_{00})(a_{00}a_{11}(p_{01}-p_{11}))^2f_0(x)=0, \tag{2.5}$$
$$a_{10}+a_{11}^2a_{00}(p_{10}-p_{00})(a_{01}a_{10}(p_{01}-p_{11}))^2f_1(x)=0. \tag{2.6}$$

Since $a_{00}\neq 0$ and $a_{10}\neq 0$, by dividing (2.5) by a_{00} and dividing (2.6) by a_{10}, we have

$$1+a_{00}a_{01}^2a_{10}a_{11}^2(p_{10}-p_{00})(p_{01}-p_{11})^2f_0(x)=0, \tag{2.7}$$
$$1+a_{00}a_{01}^2a_{10}a_{11}^2(p_{10}-p_{00})(p_{01}-p_{11})^2f_1(x)=0. \tag{2.8}$$

By (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$a_{00}a_{01}^2a_{10}a_{11}^2(p_{10}-p_{00})(p_{01}-p_{11})^2(f_0(x)-f_1(x))=0.$$

Since

$$f_0(x)-f_1(x)=-\frac{3(a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})(p_{10}-p_{00})}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^4},$$

we obtain

$$-\frac{3a_{00}a_{01}^2a_{10}a_{11}^2(a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})(p_{10}-p_{00})^2(p_{01}-p_{11})^2}{((a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})x+(-a_{00}a_{11}p_{00}+a_{01}a_{10}p_{10}))^4}=0.$$

However, this contradicts the assumption that $a_{00}a_{01}a_{10}a_{11}(a_{00}a_{11}-a_{01}a_{10})(p_{10}-p_{00})(p_{01}-p_{11})\neq 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, it follows that $S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)})$ has only one point $(\widetilde{x},\phi(\widetilde{x}))$ as a cusp point. □

3. Proof of Proposition 3

By the parallel translation of the source space $(x,y)\mapsto(x+p_{00},y+p_{01})$, $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to the following mapping:

$$(x,y)\mapsto(a_{00}x^2+a_{01}y^2,a_{10}(x-\widetilde{p}_0)^2+a_{11}(y-\widetilde{p}_1)^2), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\widetilde{p}_i=p_{1i}-p_{0i}$ $(0\leq i\leq 1)$. Note that $\widetilde{p}_0\widetilde{p}_1\neq 0$. By the parallel translation of the target space $(X,Y)\mapsto(X-a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0^2,Y-a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1^2)$, (3.1) is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to the following mapping:

$$(x,y)\mapsto(a_{00}x^2+a_{01}y^2,a_{10}x^2-2a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0x+a_{11}y^2-2a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1y). \tag{3.2}$$

Set $p(x,y)=a_{00}x^2+a_{01}y^2$, $q(x,y)=a_{10}x^2-2a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0x+a_{11}y^2-2a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1y$. Let $M=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha_0&\alpha_1\\ \beta_0&\beta_1\end{pmatrix}$ denote the 2×2 matrix defined by

$$M=\begin{pmatrix}a_{00}&a_{10}\\ a_{01}&a_{11}\end{pmatrix}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}1&a\\ 1&b\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then M is a regular matrix and we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & (p(x,y), q(x,y))\, M \\ = \quad & \left(x^2 - 2\beta_0 a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0 x + y^2 - 2\beta_0 a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1 y, ax^2 - 2\beta_1 a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0 x + by^2 - 2\beta_1 a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1 y\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to

$$(x,y) \mapsto \left((x - \beta_0 a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0)^2 + (y - \beta_0 a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1)^2, a(x - \frac{1}{a}\frac{1}{2}\beta_1 a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0)^2 + b(y - \frac{1}{b}\beta_1 a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1)^2\right).$$

Therefore, $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to F_q where $q = \left(\beta_0 a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0 - \frac{1}{a}\beta_1 a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0, \beta_0 a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1 - \frac{1}{b}\beta_1 a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1\right)$. Finally, we show that $(q,(0,0)) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2 - \Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0 - \frac{1}{a}\beta_1 a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0 &= a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0\left(\beta_0 - \frac{1}{a}\beta_1\right) \\ &= a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0\left(\frac{a_{10} - a_{01}}{a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}} - \frac{a_{10}b - a_{01}}{a(a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10})}\right) \\ &= \frac{a_{10}\widetilde{p}_0 a_{00}\left(1 - \frac{b}{a}\right)}{a_{00}a_{11} - a_{01}a_{10}} \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $\beta_0 a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1 - \frac{1}{b}\beta_1 a_{11}\widetilde{p}_1 \neq 0$. Therefore, $(q,(0,0)) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2 - \Sigma$. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1

4.1. **Proof of (1) of Theorem 1.** Let $H_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the following diffeomorphism of the target space.

$$H_1(X,Y) = \left(\frac{X}{a_{01}}, \frac{Y}{a_{11}}\right)$$

Set $r = \frac{a_{00}}{a_{01}} = \frac{a_{10}}{a_{11}}$. Then, the composition of $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)}$ and H_1 can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & H_1 \circ G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)}(x,y) \\ = \quad & \left(\frac{a_{00}}{a_{01}}(x - p_{00})^2 + (y - p_{01})^2, \frac{a_{10}}{a_{11}}(x - p_{10})^2 + (y - p_{11})^2\right) \\ = \quad & \left(r(x - p_{00})^2 + (y - p_{01})^2, r(x - p_{10})^2 + (y - p_{11})^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $H_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the following diffeomorphism of the source space.

$$H_2(x,y) = \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{|r|}}, y\right)$$

The composition of $H_1 \circ G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)}$ and H_2 is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & H_1 \circ G_{(p_1,p_2,A_1)} \circ H_2(x,y) \\ = \quad & \left(r\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{|r|}} - p_{00}\right)^2 + (y - p_{01})^2, r\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{|r|}} - p_{10}\right)^2 + (y - p_{11})^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $r > 0$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H_1 \circ G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)} \circ H_2(x,y) \\ = \quad & ((x - \sqrt{|r|}p_{00})^2 + (y - p_{01})^2, (x - \sqrt{|r|}p_{10})^2 + (y - p_{11})^2). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\widetilde{p}_0 = (\sqrt{|r|}p_{00}, p_{01})$ and $\widetilde{p}_1 = (\sqrt{|r|}p_{10}, p_{11})$. Then, we have $H_1 \circ G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)} \circ H_2 = D_{(\widetilde{p}_0,\widetilde{p}_1)}$. Hence, by Proposition 1, $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to Φ_2.

Next, suppose that $r < 0$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H_1 \circ G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)} \circ H_2(x,y) \\ = \ & (-(x-\sqrt{|r|}p_{00})^2 + (y-p_{01})^2, -(x-\sqrt{|r|}p_{10})^2 + (y-p_{11})^2). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, set $\widetilde{p}_0 = (\sqrt{|r|}p_{00}, p_{01})$ and $\widetilde{p}_1 = (\sqrt{|r|}p_{10}, p_{11})$. Then, we have $H_1 \circ G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)} \circ H_2 = L_{(\widetilde{p}_0,\widetilde{p}_1)}$. Hence, by Proposition 1, $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to Φ_2. □

4.2. **Proof of (2) of Theorem 1.** Since $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ has a cusp point, it is not $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_1)}$. Next, we show that $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ is proper. Suppose that $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ is not proper. Then, by Proposition 3, F_q is not proper, where F_q is the mapping defined in Proposition 3. Then, there exists a compact set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $F_q^{-1}(C)$ is not bounded. Let $\{\widetilde{p}_i\}_{i=1,2,\ldots}$ be a divergent sequence in $F_q^{-1}(C)$. It follows that $F_q(\widetilde{p}_i) \in C$ for any i $(1 \leq i < \infty)$. Since C is compact, taking a subsequence if necessary, it follows that $\lim_{i\to\infty} ||F_q(\widetilde{p}_i)|| < \infty$. On the other hand, by the form of F_q, it also follows that $\lim_{i\to\infty} ||F_q(\widetilde{p}_i)|| = \infty$. Hence, $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ is proper.

By Proposition 2 and Mather's characterization theorem of proper stable mappings ([5]), it is sufficient to show that the restriction of $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ to its singular set $S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)})$ is injective. In order to show that the restriction of $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ to its singular set $S(G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)})$ is injective, by Proposition 3, it is sufficient to show that the restriction of F_q to its singular set $S(F_q)$ is injective. Suppose the restriction of F_q to $S(F_q)$ is not injective. Then, since F_q has the form $F_q(x,y) = ((x-q_0)^2 + (y-q_1)^2, ax^2 + by^2)$, the point $q = (q_0, q_1)$ must be inside the symmetry set of an ellipse defined by $ax^2 + by^2 = c$ by some positive constant c. It is well-known that the symmetry set of an ellipse defined by $ax^2 + by^2 = c$ must be inside the union of two axes $\{(x,0) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\{(0,y) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (for instance, see [2]). However, this contradicts the fact that $(q,(0,0)) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2 - \Sigma$. Hence, the restriction of F_q to its singular set $S(F_q)$ is injective. □

4.3. **Proof of (3) of Theorem 1.** By Proposition 3, $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $F_{\widetilde{p}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$F_{\widetilde{p}}(x,y) = ((x-\widetilde{p}_0)^2 + (y-\widetilde{p}_1)^2, ax^2 + by^2),$$

where $a > 0$, $b > 0$, and $(\widetilde{p},(0,0)) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2 - \Sigma$ $(\widetilde{p} = (\widetilde{p}_0, \widetilde{p}_1))$.

First, we show that there exist four non-zero real numbers b_{00}, b_{01}, b_{10}, b_{11} such that $F_{\widetilde{p}}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to the following mapping:

$$(x,y) \mapsto (x^2 + b_{00}x + b_{01}y, y^2 + b_{10}x + b_{11}y).$$

Set

$$\widetilde{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 1 & b \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{a-b}\begin{pmatrix} -b & a \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The composition of the target diffeomorphism $(X,Y) \mapsto (X,Y)\widetilde{M}$ and $F_{\widetilde{p}}$ has the following form:

$$(x,y) \mapsto \left(x^2 + \frac{2b\widetilde{p}_0}{a-b}x + \frac{2b\widetilde{p}_1}{a-b}y - \frac{b(\widetilde{p}_0^2 + \widetilde{p}_1^2)}{a-b}, y^2 - \frac{2a\widetilde{p}_0}{a-b}x - \frac{2a\widetilde{p}_1}{a-b}y + \frac{a(\widetilde{p}_0^2 + \widetilde{p}_1^2)}{a-b}\right).$$

By the target diffeomorphism to eliminate constant terms, we have the following:

$$(x,y) \mapsto \left(x^2 + \frac{2b\widetilde{p}_0}{a-b}x + \frac{2b\widetilde{p}_1}{a-b}y, y^2 - \frac{2a\widetilde{p}_0}{a-b}x - \frac{2a\widetilde{p}_1}{a-b}y \right). \tag{4.1}$$

Since $ab\widetilde{p}_0\widetilde{p}_1 \neq 0$, all coefficients of the variables x, y are non-zero real numbers. Hence, there exist four non-zero real numbers b_{00}, b_{01}, b_{10}, b_{11} such that $F_{\widetilde{p}}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to the following mapping:

$$(x,y) \mapsto (x^2 + b_{00}x + b_{01}y, y^2 + b_{10}x + b_{11}y). \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly, by Proposition 3, $G_{(q_0,q_1,B_2)}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $F_{\widetilde{q}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by

$$F_{\widetilde{q}}(x,y) = ((x-\widetilde{q}_0)^2 + (y-\widetilde{q}_1)^2, ax^2 + by^2),$$

where $a > 0$, $b > 0$, and $(\widetilde{q}, (0,0)) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^2 - \Sigma$ ($\widetilde{q} = (\widetilde{q}_0, \widetilde{q}_1)$). Therefore, there exist four non-zero real numbers $\widetilde{b}_{00}$, $\widetilde{b}_{01}$, $\widetilde{b}_{10}$, $\widetilde{b}_{11}$ such that $F_{\widetilde{q}}$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to the following mapping:

$$(x,y) \mapsto (x^2 + \widetilde{b}_{00}x + \widetilde{b}_{01}y, y^2 + \widetilde{b}_{10}x + \widetilde{b}_{11}y). \tag{4.3}$$

Finally, we show that the mapping defined by (4.2) is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to the mapping defined by (4.3). For an element $\beta = (\beta_{00}, \beta_{01}, \beta_{10}, \beta_{11}) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ ($\beta_{00}\beta_{10} \neq 0$), we define the following diffeomorphism of the target

$$H_\beta(X,Y) = \left(\frac{X}{\beta_{00}^2}, \frac{Y}{\beta_{10}^2} \right),$$

and the following diffeomorphism of the source

$$h_\beta(x,y) = (\beta_{00}x + \beta_{01}, \beta_{10}y + \beta_{11}).$$

By composing H_β, the mapping defined by (4.1), and h_β, we have the following:

$$(x,y) \mapsto \left(\frac{(\beta_{00}x + \beta_{01})^2 + b_{00}(\beta_{00}x + \beta_{01}) + b_{01}(\beta_{10}y + \beta_{11})}{\beta_{00}^2}, \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(\beta_{10}y + \beta_{11})^2 + b_{10}(\beta_{00}x + \beta_{01}) + b_{11}(\beta_{10}y + \beta_{11})}{\beta_{10}^2} \right).$$

By the target diffeomorphism to eliminate constant terms, we have the following:

$$(x,y) \mapsto \left(x^2 + \frac{2\beta_{01} + b_{00}}{\beta_{00}}x + \frac{b_{01}\beta_{10}}{\beta_{00}^2}y, y^2 + \frac{b_{10}\beta_{00}}{\beta_{10}^2}x + \frac{2\beta_{11} + b_{11}}{\beta_{10}}y \right),$$

It is sufficient to show that there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^4$ ($\beta_{00}\beta_{10} \neq 0$) satisfying

$$\frac{2\beta_{01} + b_{00}}{\beta_{00}} = \widetilde{b}_{00}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\frac{b_{01}\beta_{10}}{\beta_{00}^2} = \widetilde{b}_{01}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\frac{b_{10}\beta_{00}}{\beta_{10}^2} = \widetilde{b}_{10}, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\frac{2\beta_{11} + b_{11}}{\beta_{10}} = \widetilde{b}_{11}. \tag{4.7}$$

By (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$\beta_{00} = \left(\frac{b_{10}b_{01}^2}{\widetilde{b}_{10}\widetilde{b}_{01}^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \ \beta_{10} = \left(\frac{b_{01}b_{10}^2}{\widetilde{b}_{01}\widetilde{b}_{10}^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

Hence, it is clearly seen that there exist real numbers β_{01}, β_{11} satisfying (4.4) and (4.7). □

Remark. For a fixed matrix A_2 of rank 2 with non-zero entries, Proposition 3 reduces to 2 the number of parameters of the mapping $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$. Thus, for a fixed $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2 - \Sigma$, we study the unfolding $\eta : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $\eta(x, y, p_{10}, p_{11}) = (G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}(x, y), p_{10}, p_{11})$. Based on Figure 1, it is expected that the unfolding has a D_4^+ singularity as a map germ.

Definition 2. Let $\Delta_4^+ : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$ denote the following mapping:

$$\Delta_4^+(x, y, u, v) = \left(x^2 + uy, y^2 + vx, u, v\right).$$

When a map-germ $f : (\mathbb{R}^4, q) \to (\mathbb{R}^4, f(q))$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $\Delta_4^+ : (\mathbb{R}^4, 0) \to (\mathbb{R}^4, 0)$, the point $q \in \mathbb{R}^4$ is said to be a D_4^+ *singularity of* f.

The expectation can be easily realized by the first half of the proof of (3) of Theorem 1. This is because (4.1) is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to

$$(x, y) \mapsto \left(x^2 + \frac{2b\widetilde{p}_1}{a-b}y, y^2 - \frac{2a\widetilde{p}_0}{a-b}x\right)$$

and thus the mapping

$$(x, y, \widetilde{p}_0, \widetilde{p}_1) \mapsto (F_q(x, y), \widetilde{p}_0, \widetilde{p}_1)$$

is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to

$$(x, y, \widetilde{p}_0, \widetilde{p}_1) \mapsto \left(x^2 + \widetilde{p}_1 y, y^2 + \widetilde{p}_0 x, \widetilde{p}_0, \widetilde{p}_1\right).$$

On the other hand, by the proof of Proposition 3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{p}_0 &= \beta_0 a_{10}(p_{10} - p_{00}) - \frac{1}{a}\beta_1 a_{10}(p_{10} - p_{00}), \\ \widetilde{p}_1 &= \beta_0 a_{11}(p_{11} - p_{01}) - \frac{1}{b}\beta_1 a_{11}(p_{11} - p_{01}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have the following:

Proposition 4. *Let p_0 be a given point of $\mathbb{R}^2$ and let A_2 be a 2×2 matrix of rank 2 with non-zero entries. Then, $\eta : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to Δ_4^+, and thus the point $(p_0, p_0) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ is a D_4^+ singularity of η.*

5. Geometric interpretation of Propositions 2 and 3

Let A_2 be a 2×2 matrix of rank 2. Then the generalized distance-squared mapping $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)} = (G_1, G_2)$ determines two foliations in the plane by conics

$$\mathcal{C}_1(c_1) = \{(x, y) \,|\, G_1(x, y) = c_1\} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}(c_2) = \{(x, y) \,|\, G_2(x, y) = c_2\},$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The two foliations are generically transverse, and they are tangent at the singularities of $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$. It follows from Proposition 3 that $G_{(p_0,p_1,A_2)}$ is equivalent to $F_q(x, y) = \left((x - q_0)^2 + (y - q_1)^2, ax^2 + by^2\right)$, for some point $q = (q_0, q_1)$ and positive constants a and b. On the other hand, the singularities of F_q

correspond to the singularities of the family of distance squared functions $D_q(x,y) = (x-q_0)^2 + (y-q_1)^2$ on the family of ellipses $\{ax^2+by^2 = c_2\}$.

The geometric characterizations of the singularities of the family of distance-squared functions on a unit speed plane curve $\alpha : I \to \mathbb{R}^2$, $\alpha(s) = (x(s), y(s))$ are well known, and we recall them in the following proposition. As usual, we write $\{\mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}(s)\}$ for the Frenet frame and $k(s)$ the curvature of α at the point s.

Proposition 5 ([2], page 29)**.** *Let $f_q(s) = D_q(\alpha(s))$. Then, the following hold*

(1) $f_q'(s_0) = 0$ *if and only if there exists* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $q = \alpha(s_0) + \lambda \mathbf{n}(s_0)$,
(2) $f_q'(s_0) = f_q''(s_0) = 0$ *if and only if* $k(s_0) \neq 0$ *and* $q = \alpha(s_0) + \frac{\mathbf{n}(s_0)}{k(s_0)}$,
(3) $f_q'(s_0) = f_q''(s_0) = f_q'''(s_0) = 0$ *if and only if* $k(s_0) \neq 0$, $q = \alpha(s_0) + \frac{\mathbf{n}(s_0)}{k(s_0)}$ *and* $k'(s_0) = 0$.

In the previous setting the point $q = \alpha(s_0) + \frac{\mathbf{n}(s_0)}{k(s_0)}$ is the center of curvature of the curve α at $s = s_0$. The circle centered at q passing through $\alpha(s_0)$ is the osculating circle of the curve at this point.

These characterizations and the proof of Proposition 2 yield the following

Proposition 6. (1) *A point $\rho = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a fold point of F_q if and only if the circle $\{(x-q_0)^2 + (y-q_1)^2 = (x_0-q_0)^2 + (y_0-q_1)^2\}$ and the ellipse $ax^2+by^2 = ax_0^2+by_0^2$ are tangent at ρ and the center of curvature of the ellipse at ρ is different from (q_0, q_1).*
(2) *A point $\rho = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a cusp point of F_q if and only if the circle $\{(x-q_0)^2 + (y-q_1)^2 = (x_0-q_0)^2 + (y_0-q_1)^2\}$ and the ellipse $ax^2+by^2 = ax_0^2+by_0^2$ are tangent at ρ and the center of curvature of the ellipse is (q_0, q_1).*

Corollary 1. *Given any two families of conics $\mathcal{C}_1(c_1)$ and $\mathcal{C}_2(c_2)$ as above defining a mapping $G_{(p_0,p_1,A)}$, $\operatorname{rank} A = 2$, there exist uniquely determined constants c_1 and c_2 and a point $\rho = (x_0, y_0)$ such that $\{\rho\} = \mathcal{C}_1(c_1) \cap \mathcal{C}_2(c_2)$ is the unique point of tangency between the two curves at which the osculating circles coincide.*

Acknowledgements

S. Ichiki and T. Nishimura are partially supported by JSPS-CAPES under the JAPAN-BRAZIL research cooperative program. R. Oset Sinha is partially supported by FAPESP grant no. 2013/02381-1 and DGCYT and FEDER grant no. MTM2012-33073. M. A. S. Ruas is partially supported by CNPq grant no. 305651/2011-0.

References

[1] V. I. Arnol'd, S. M. Gusein-Zade and A. N. Varchenko, Singularities of Differentiable Maps I. Monographs in Mathematics, **82**, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Stuttgart, 1985.
[2] J. W. Bruce and P. J. Giblin, Curves and Singularities (second edition), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
[3] S. Ichiki and T. Nishimura, *Distance-squared mappings*, Topology Appl., **160** (2013), 1005–1016.
[4] S. Ichiki and T. Nishimura, *Recognizable classification of Lorentzian distance-squared mappings*, J. Geom. Phys., **81** (2014), 62–71.
[5] J. N. Mather, *Stability of C^∞ mappings V. Transversality*, Advances in Math., **4** (1970), 301–336.

[6] A. M. Montesinos, Maps $\mathbb{R}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$, Computer program available by anonymous ftp at http://www.uv.es/montesin/.
[7] H. Whitney, *On singularities of mappings of Euclidean spaces I, Mappings of the plane into the plane*, Ann. of Math., **62** (1955), 374–410.

Dai Nippon Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo 162-8001, Japan
E-mail address: ichiki-shunsuke-jb@ynu.jp

Research Group of Mathematical Sciences, Research Institute of Environment and Information Sciences, Yokohama National University, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan
E-mail address: nishimura-takashi-yx@ynu.jp

Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Sao Carlos, Sao Carlos, SP, Brazil
E-mail address: Raul.Oset@uv.es

ICMC, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Carlos, SP, Brazil
E-mail address: maasruas@icmc.usp.br